



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/587,109

01/24/2008

Olivier Miserque

31223.0126

9522

25264 7590 07/17/2008  
FINA TECHNOLOGY INC  
PO BOX 674412  
HOUSTON, TX 77267-4412

EXAMINER

TESKIN, FRED M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1796

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/17/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/587,109	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MISERQUE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Fred M. Teskin	<b>Art Unit</b> 1796	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Fred M. Teskin. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Ms. Kilpatrick-Lee. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 15 July 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 15-30 generally.

Identification of prior art discussed: Tharappel et al; Norwood.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Generally discussed above-identified prior art in relation to claimed process. Applicants' representative proposed amending broadest claim to delete reference to Ziegler-Natta polymerization catalyst. Examiner indicated the current prior art rejection would not be applicable to claims limited to a chromium-based polymerization catalyst..

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Fred M Teskin/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required